



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 10/067,146

Confirmation No. 7266

Applicant : Frederick P. Siegal and Michael Shodell

Filed : February 4, 2002

TC/A.U. : 1636

Examiner : Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D.

Docket No. : 50425/191

Customer No. : 1912

"Express Mail" mailing label No.	EL 998361949 US
Date of Deposit:	November 9, 2004
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
Name:	Elie H. Gendloff
Signature:	<i>[Handwritten Signature]</i>

AMENDMENT AND REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Amendment and Reply is in response to the final Office Action dated August 9, 2004 in the above-identified case. Since this response is due November 9, 2004, it is timely filed.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 10/067,146

Confirmation No. 7266

Applicant : Frederick P. Siegal and Michael Shodell

Filed : February 4, 2002

TC/A.U. : 1636

Examiner : Sumesh Kaushal, Ph.D.

Docket No. : 50425/191

Customer No. : 1912

"Express Mail" mailing label No.	EL 996373394 US
Date of Deposit:	June 20, 2005
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
Name:	Elie H. Gendloff
Signature:	

REPLY AND AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Reply and Amendment is in response to the Final Office Action dated April 21, 2005 in the above-identified case. Since this Reply and Amendment is due July 21, 2005, it is timely filed.

Applicants also acknowledge and appreciate the courtesies extended by Examiners Kaushal and Fredman in the March 16, 2005 interview between them and Attorney Elie H. Gendloff. This Reply and Amendment also addresses concerns raised by the Examiners in that interview, as further reflected in the April 21, 2005 Final Office Action.

Amendments

Please enter the following amendments in this case.